

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Favorable reconsideration of this application, as presently amended and in light of the following discussion is respectfully requested.

Claim 11-17 are pending in the application, Claims 1-7 are being canceled and Claims 11-17 are being added by the present amendment. No new matter is added as support is found throughout the specification, especially Figs. 23-24 and the text associated therewith.


In the outstanding Official Action, Claims 1-7 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(a) as anticipated by Stetz, in Cell Phone Handbook.

It is believed the presently pending claims define over the asserted prior art and therefore the present rejection is moot.

Consequently, in view of the present amendment, it is respectfully submitted that the invention defined by Claims 11-17 is definite and patentably distinguishing over the applied reference. The present application is therefore believed to be in condition for formal allowance and an early and favorable reconsideration of the application is therefore requested

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND,  
MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.



---

Bradley D. Lytle  
Attorney of Record  
Registration No. 40,073

Customer Number  
**22850**

Tel: (703) 413-3000  
Fax: (703) 413 -2220  
(OSMMN 06/04)  
BDL/mai

I:\ATTY\ATH\PROSECUTION\20'S\205018-US\205018US.AM1\_020706.DOC